rders the establishment of Staff Council for the Development, Panchayati Raj and Co operation Department.

EAD—

1. G. O. No. OSD 8 SMR 57, dated 12th November 1957.
2. G. O. No. GAD 10 OSR 63, dated 14th June 1963.

ORDER No. GAD 3 OSC 64, DATED BANGALORE, THE 16TH SEPTEMBER 1964 (BHADRAPADA 25, SAKA ERA 1886).

In supersession of the Government Order dated 14th June 1963 cited above, the following additional entry shall be made in Annexure I to G. O. No. OSD 8 SMR 57, dated 12th November 1957, *viz.*—

8. Staff Council for the Development, Panchayati Raj and Co-operation Department.

Secretary, Development, Panchayati Raj and Co-operation Department ... *Chairman.*

Government Nominees

1. The Registrar of Co-operative Societies
2. The Chief Marketing Officer.
3. The Controller of Weights and Measures.
4. The Deputy Development Commissioner.
5. The Project Officer, Rural Housing Cell.

Representative of Employees

6.	From the Establishment of the Development Department...	1
7.	From the Establishment under the Registrar of Co-operative Societies ...	1
8.	From the Establishment under the Chief Marketing Officer and Controller of Weights and Measures ...	1
9.	From the Establishment of the Project Officer, Rural Housing Cell ...	1

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Mysore,

P. VENKATRAMAN,
Dy. Secy. to Govt., G. A. D. (P. & O. & M.)

Issues orders *re.* the fixation of seniority of local candidates whose services are regularised by virtue of G. O. No. GAD 46 SRR 59, dated 22nd September 1961.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM

REFERENCE—

Government Order No. GAD 46 SRR 59, dated 22nd September 1961.

No. GAD 128 SRR 64, DATED BANGALORE, THE 28TH SEPTEMBER 1964.

In the Government Order cited above, certain clarifications in the matter of regularisation of the services of the local candidates were issued. This order was the subject matter of Writ Petition No. 117/1963, wherein an official of the Directorate of Statistics had sought for a writ of *certiorari* for quashing the said Government Order, alleging, that it perpetrates inequality amongst local candidates, discriminating against those selected by the Public Service Commission, and in favour of those not selected by the Public Service Commission.